**Our Lady's Catholic High School** 

**Non Examination Assessment Policy** 

'Our Lady's is first and foremost a Catholic school. It follows from this that the ethos of our school should reflect the values proclaimed by Christ in the Gospels and recognise the unique value of each individual. Our Mission is to be a Faith Community which, through following Gospel Principles, acts as a positive example, both within our own and our extended community.

(from OLCHS Mission Statement)

Reviewed: Spring Term 2024 Next Review: Spring Term 2025

# **Contents**

What does this policy affect?	Page 3
Purpose of the policy	Page 3
What are non-examination assessments?	Page 3
Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessme	ents
identifying staff roles and responsibilities	Page 4
The basic principles	Page 4
Task setting	Page 5
Issuing of tasks	Page 5
Task taking – supervision	Page 6
Advice and feedback	Page 6
Resources	Page 6
Word and time limits	Page 7
Collaboration and group work	Page 7
Authentication procedures	Page 7
Presentation of work	Page 8
Keeping materials secure	Page 8
Task marking – externally assessed components	Page 9
Conduct of externally assessed work	Page 9
Task marking – internally assessed components	Page 10
Marking and annotation	Page 10
Internal standardisation	Page 10
Consortium arrangements	Page 11
Submission of marks and work for moderation	Page 12
Storage and retention of work after submission of marks	Page 13
External moderation – the process	Page 13
External moderation – feedback	Page 13
Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments	Page 14
Special consideration and loss of work	Page 14
Malpractice	Page 15
Post-results services	Page 16
Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language	
specifications designed for use in England	Page 16
Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-example.	amination
assessments	Page 18

# What does this policy affect?

This policy affects the delivery of subjects of GCE and GCSE qualifications which contain a component(s) of non-examination assessment.

The regulators' definition of an examination is very narrow. In effect, any type of assessment that is not:

- set by an awarding body
- designed to be taken simultaneously by all relevant candidates at a time determined by the awarding body, and
- taken under conditions specified by the awarding body (including conditions relating to the supervision of candidates during the assessment and the duration of the assessment)

is classified as non-examination assessment (NEA).

'NEA' therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as 'NEA'. (JCQ's Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments, Foreword)

This publication is further referred to in this policy as **NEA** 

# Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy is to:

- cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments
- define staff roles and responsibilities with respect to non-examination assessments
- manage risks associated with non-examination assessments

The policy will need to cover all types of non-examination assessment. (NEA, section 1)

#### What are non-examination assessments?

Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

- task setting
- task taking
- task marking

# Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities:

# The basic principles

#### **Head of Centre**

- Returns a declaration (managed as part of the National Centre Number Register annual update) to confirm awareness of, and that relevant centre staff are adhering to, the latest version of NEA
- Ensures the centre's Non-examination Assessment Policy is fit for purpose and covers all types of non-examination assessment
- Ensures the centre's Internal Appeals Procedures clearly details the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks) and requesting a review of the centre's marking

# **Senior Leadership Team**

- Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements) which comply with <u>NEA</u> and awarding body subject-specific instructions
- Ensure the centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year

# **Principal Teacher**

- Confirms that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for non-examination assessments (including endorsements) are used by teachers and candidates
- Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates
- Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.
- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the nonexamination assessment process
- Ensures <u>NEA</u> and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements)
- Ensures appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers

- Understands the arrangements that the centre needs to put in place where the centre might accept private candidates (including distance learners and home educated students) for components of non-examination assessment
- Ensures the exams officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects
   (whether the entry for the internally assessed component forms part of the overall
   entry code or is made as a separate unit entry code) to the internal deadline for
   entries

#### **Subject Teacher**

- Understands and complies with the general instructions as detailed in NEA
- Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies
  with the awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments,
  including any subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information
  on the awarding body's website
- Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body

#### **Exams Officer**

- Signposts the annually updated JCQ <u>NEA</u> publication to relevant centre staff
- Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment

# **Task Setting:**

# **Subject Teacher**

- Selects tasks from a choice provided by the awarding body OR designs tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification
- Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work

# **Issuing of Tasks:**

#### **Subject Teacher**

- Determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body
- Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates
- Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials are stored securely at all times
- Ensures the correct task is issued to candidates

# **Task Taking - Supervision:**

# **Subject Teacher**

- Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own
- Is confident where work may be completed outside of the centre without direct supervision, that the work produced is the candidate's own
- Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution and it must be possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures candidates are aware of the current JCQ documents <u>Information for candidates non-examination assessments</u> and <u>Information for candidates Social Media</u>
- Ensures candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ Information for candidates' documents

#### **Advice and Feedback:**

#### **Subject Teacher**

- As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task
- When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- Allows candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level
- Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes this into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner
- Ensures that once work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it

#### **Resources:**

#### **Subject Teacher**

- Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources when planning and researching their tasks
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place
- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to keep the work to be assessed, and any preparatory work, secure between any formally supervised sessions, including work that is stored electronically
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates
- Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce augmented notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions
- Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

#### Word and Time Limits:

# **Subject Teacher**

 Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory

# Collaboration and group work:

# **Subject Teacher**

- Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment
- · Assesses the work of each candidate individually

#### **Authentication Procedures:**

#### **Subject Teacher**

- Where required by the awarding body's specification
  - ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
  - signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met
- Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for enquiries about results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later
- Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ
   Centre Inspector (electronic signatures are acceptable)
- Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in NEA and informs a member of the senior leadership team.
- Understands that if, during the external moderation process, it is found that the
  work has not been properly authenticated, the awarding body will set the mark(s)
  awarded by the centre to zero

#### **Presentation of Work**

# **Subject Teacher**

- Obtains informed consent at the beginning of the course from parents/carers if videos or photographs/images of candidates will be included as evidence of participation or contribution
- Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in <u>NEA</u> unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work
- Ensures if candidates' work is to be submitted electronically, that it meets the awarding body's specified requirements

# **Keeping Materials Secure:**

# **Subject Teacher**

- When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)
- When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored
- Follows secure storage instructions as defined in NEA 4.8
- Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely until all possible post-results services have been exhausted
- If post-results services have not been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) after the deadline for requesting a review of results for the relevant series
- If post-results services have been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) once the review of results and any subsequent appeal has been completed
- Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or partially completed work on-line on social media or through any other means (Reminds candidates of the contents of the JCQ document *Information* for candidates – Social Media)
- Where work is stored electronically, liaises with the IT Manager to ensure the protection and back-up of candidates' work and that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access to it between sessions
- Understands that during the period from the submission of work for formal assessment until the deadline for requesting a review of results, copies of work may be used for other purposes, provided that the originals are stored securely as required

# **IT Manager**

- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically
- Restricts access to this material and utilises appropriate security safeguards such as firewall protection and virus scanning software

- Employs an effective back-up strategy so that an up to date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained
- Considers encrypting any sensitive digital media to ensure the security of the data stored within it and refers to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is suitable

# Task Marking - Externally Assessed Components

# **Conduct of Externally Assessed Work:**

#### **Subject Teacher**

- Liaises with the exams officer regarding the arrangements for any externally assessed components of a specification which must be conducted within a window of dates specified by the awarding body and where applicable, according to JCQ Instructions for conducting examinations
- Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component

#### **Exams Officer**

- Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where and if this is applicable to any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body and where applicable, according to JCQ *Instructions for conducting* examinations

#### **Submission of Work:**

# **Subject Teacher**

• Pays close attention to the completion of the attendance register, if applicable

- Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher where applicable
- Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly
- Where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner or uploaded electronically, ensures this is completed by the date specified by the awarding body
- Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for reviews of results for the exam series
- Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label
- Ensures that the package in which the work is despatched is robust and securely fastened
- Despatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline

# Task Marking – Internally Assessed Components

# **Marking and Annotation:**

#### **Head of Centre**

- Makes every effort to avoid situations where a candidate is assessed by a person
  who has a close personal relationship with the candidate, for example, members of
  their family (which includes step-family, foster family and similar close relationships)
  or close friends and their immediate family (e.g son/daughter)
- Where this cannot be avoided, ensures the possible conflict of interest is declared by the Exams Officer to the relevant awarding body and the marked work is submitted for moderation whether or not it is part of the moderation sample

# **Principal Teacher**

Sets timescales for teachers to inform candidates of their centre-assessed marks that
will allow sufficient time for a candidate to appeal an internal assessment
decision/request a review of the centre's marking prior to the marks being
submitted to the awarding body external deadline

# **Subject Teacher**

- Accesses awarding body training/updates as required to ensure familiarity with the mark scheme/marking process
- Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body
- Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria
- Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process
- Ensures candidates are informed of the timescale set by the subject lead or as indicated in the centre's *internal appeals procedure* to enable an internal appeal/request for a review of marking to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the awarding body

#### **Internal Standardisation:**

#### **Principal Teacher**

- Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence
- Supports staff not familiar with the mark scheme (e.g. NQTs, supply staff etc.)
- Ensures accurate internal standardisation for example by:
  - o obtaining reference materials at an early stage in the course
  - holding a preliminary trial marking session prior to marking

- carrying out further trial marking at appropriate points during the marking period
- after most marking has been completed, holds a further meeting to make final adjustments
- making final adjustments to marks prior to submission, retaining work and evidence of standardisation
- Retains evidence that internal standardisation has been carried out

#### **Subject Teacher**

- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking
- Marks to common standards
- Keeps candidates work secure until after the closing date for review of results for the series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

#### **Consortium Arrangements:**

### **Principal Teacher**

- Ensures a consortium co-ordinator is nominated (where this may be required as the consortium lead)
- If the consortium lead, liaises with the exams officer to ensure the relevant awarding body is informed that the centre is part of a consortium by submitting Form JCQ/CCA Centre consortium arrangements for centre-assessed work for each exam series affected
- Ensures procedures for internal standardisation as a consortium are followed

#### **Subject Teacher**

- Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Retains all candidates' work in the consortium until after the deadline for reviews of results for the exam series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

- Where the centre is the consortium lead:
  - submits an online notification of Centre consortium arrangements for centreassessed work to the relevant awarding body through the Centre Admin Portal (CAP) by no later than the published deadline for each exam series affected
  - submits marks for home centre candidates to the awarding body deadline
  - where relevant, liaises with the other exams officers in the consortium to arrange despatch of a single moderation sample to the awarding body deadline

#### Submission of Marks and Work for Moderation:

# **Subject Teacher**

- Inputs and submits marks online, via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks awarded, to the external deadline/Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the awarding body moderator by the external deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Ensures that where a candidate's work has been facilitated by a scribe or practical assistant, the relevant completed cover sheet is securely attached to the front of the work and sent to the moderator in addition to the sample requested
- Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- Submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body/Provides the exams officer with any supporting documentation required by the awarding body

- Inputs and submits marks online, via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks submitted, to the external deadline/Confirms with subject teachers that marks have been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Confirms with Subject teacher that the moderation sample has been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Ensures that for postal moderation:
  - work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
  - moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging
  - proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results
- Through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication
  of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken
  and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- Through the subject teacher, submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body

#### Storage and Retention of Work after Submission of Marks:

# **Subject Teacher**

- Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
- Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions for the required retention period
- In liaison with the IT Manager, takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place
- If retention is a problem because of the nature of the work, retains some form of evidence such as photos, audio or media recordings

#### **Exams Officer**

• Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the subject teacher for secure storage and required retention

# External Moderation – the process

# **Subject Teacher**

- Ensures that awarding body or its moderator receive the correct samples of candidates' work
- Where relevant, liaises with the awarding body/moderator where the moderator visits the centre to mark the sample of work
- Complies with any request from the moderator for remaining work or further evidence of the centre's marking

#### **External Moderation – feedback**

# **Principal Teacher**

- Checks the final moderated marks when issued to the centre when the results are published
- Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next exam series

- Accesses or signposts moderator reports to relevant staff
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

#### Access Arrangements and reasonable adjustments:

#### **Subject Teacher**

 Works with the SENCo to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments

# Special Educational Needs Co-ordinator (SENCo)

- Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication <u>Access Arrangements</u> and <u>Reasonable Adjustments</u> in relation to non-examination assessments including Reasonable Adjustments for GCE A-level sciences – Endorsement of practical skills
- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place
- Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- Works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met
- Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role

# Special Consideration and loss of work:

#### **Subject Teacher**

- Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate is absent and/or produces a reduced quantity of work
- Liaises with the exams officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments
- Liaises with the exams officer to report loss of work to the awarding body

- Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ publication <u>A guide to the special</u> consideration process
  - Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale
  - Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale
  - Keeps required evidence on file to support the application

 Refers to/directs relevant staff where applicable to <u>Form 15 – JCQ/LCW</u> and where applicable submits to the relevant awarding body

# Malpractice:

#### **Head of Centre**

- Understands the responsibility to immediately report to the relevant awarding body any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates or centre staff
- Ensures any irregularity identified by the centre before the candidate has signed the
  authentication statement (where required) are dealt with under its own internal
  procedures, with no requirement to report the irregularity to the awarding body (The
  only exception being where the awarding body's confidential assessment materials
  has been breached, the breach must be report to the awarding body)
- Is familiar with the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice</u>: <u>Policies and Procedures</u>
- Ensures that those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates producing non-examination assessment are aware of the potential for malpractice and ensures that teaching staff are reminded that failure to report allegations of malpractice or suspected malpractice constitutes malpractice in itself

# **Subject Teacher**

- Is aware of the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Sharing NEA material and candidates' work to mitigate against candidate and centre malpractice</u>
- Ensures candidates understand what constitutes malpractice in non-examination assessments
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates non-examination assessments</u>
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates</u> -Social Media
- Escalates and reports any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates to the head of centre

- Signposts the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice</u>: <u>Policies and Procedures</u> to the head of centre
- Signposts the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Sharing NEA material and candidates' work</u> to subject heads
- Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates' documents
- Where required, supports the head of centre in investigating and reporting incidents of alleged, suspected or actual malpractice

#### **Post-results Services**

#### **Head of Centre**

- Is familiar with the JCQ publication Post-Results Services
- Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedures clearly details the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support a review of results or an appeal

# **Principal Teacher**

 Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about enquiries about results

# **Subject Teacher**

- Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available
- Provides the exams officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for an enquiry about results to the internal deadline

#### **Exams Officer**

- Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ publication *Post Results Services (Information and guidance for centres)*
- Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline

# Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England:

#### **Head of Centre**

 Returns an online 'Head of Centre declaration' at the time of the National Centre Number Register annual update, confirming that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language endorsement

#### **Principal Teacher**

- Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments
- Confirms understanding of the Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England

- Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers
- Ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided

# **Subject Teacher**

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions
- Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (*Pass, Merit, Distinction* or *Not Classified*) and the storage and submission of recordings

#### **Exams Officer**

 Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and the storage and submission of recordings

# Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Centre staff malpractice	Records confirm that relevant centre staff are familiar with and follow:	
	<ul> <li>the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>the JCQ document Notice to Centres - Sharing NEA material and candidates' work - www.jcq.org.uk/exams- office/non-examination-assessments</li> </ul>	
Candidate malpractice	Records confirm that candidates are informed and understand they	
	must not:	
	submit work which is not their own	
	<ul> <li>make available their work to other candidates through any medium</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>allow other candidates to have access to their own independently sourced material</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>assist other candidates to produce work</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>use books, the internet or other sources without acknowledgement or attribution</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>submit work that has been word processed by a third party without acknowledgement</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>include inappropriate, offensive or obscene material</li> </ul>	
	Records confirm that candidates have been made aware of the JCQ	
	documents Information for candidates - non-examination assessments	
	and Information for candidates – Social Media -	
	www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/information-for-candidates-documents	
	and understand they must not post their work on social media	
	Task setting	
Awarding body set task: IT	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set task noted prior	
failure/corruption of task details	to start of course	
where set task details accessed	IT systems checked prior to key date	
from the awarding body online	Alternative IT system used to gain access	
	Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task details	
Centre set task: Subject teacher	Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body training	
fails to meet the assessment	information, practice materials etc.	
criteria as detailed in the	Records confirmation that subject teachers understand the task setting	
specification	arrangements as defined in the awarding body's specification	
	Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task	
Candidates do not understand the	A simplified version of the awarding body's marking criteria described in	
marking criteria and what they	the specification that is not specific to the work of an individual	
need to do to gain credit	candidate or group of candidates is produced for candidates	
	Records confirm all candidates understand the marking criteria	
	Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking criteria	
Subject teacher long term absence	See centre's Exam Contingency Plan (Teaching staff extended absence at	
during the task setting stage	key points in the exam cycle)	
	Issuing of tasks	
Awarding body set task not issued	Awarding body key date for accessing set task as detailed in the	
to candidates on time	specification noted prior to start of course	
	Course information issued to candidates contains details when set task	
	will be issued and needs to be completed by	

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
	Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning, resourcing and teaching	
The wrong task is given to candidates	Ensures course planning and information taken from the awarding body's specification confirms the correct task will be issued to candidates  Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	
Subject teacher long term absence during the issuing of tasks stage	See centre's Exam Contingency Plan (Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle)	
A candidate (or parent/carer) expresses concern about	Ensures the candidate's presentation does not form part of the sample which will be recorded	
safeguarding, confidentiality or faith in undertaking a task such as a presentation that may be recorded	Contacts the awarding body at the earliest opportunity where unable to record the required number of candidates for the monitoring sample	
	Task taking	
Supervision		
Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidate activities	Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar	
Rooms or facilities inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision	Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number	
	of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply)	
Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated	Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates  Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy	
A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed  An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed	
Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 2), to determine the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate	
Advice and feedback		
Candidate claims appropriate advice and feedback not given by subject teacher prior to starting on their work	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all information provided to candidates before work begins as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures  Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity	
	Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject and component  Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work	

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Candidate claims no advice and	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record	
feedback given by subject teacher	all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the task-taking	
during the task-taking stage	stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures	
	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to	
	confirm monitoring activity	
	Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates	
	during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and	
	component	
	Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-	
	taking stage	
A third party claims that assistance	An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are	
was given to candidates by the	interviewed and statements recorded where relevant	
subject teacher over and above	Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given	
that allowed in the regulations and	Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the	
specification	awarding body	
Candidate does not reference	Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information before	
information from published source	work is submitted for formal assessment	
	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for	
	candidates: non-examination assessments	
	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources	
	etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	
Candidate does not set out	Candidate is advised at a general level to review and re-draft the set out	
references as required	of references before work is submitted for formal assessment	
	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for	
	candidates: non-examination assessments	
	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources	
	etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	
Candidate joins the course late	A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to catch	
after formally supervised task	ир	
taking has started		
Candidate moves to another centre	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what can be done	
during the course	depending on the stage at which the move takes place	
An excluded pupil wants to	The awarding body specification is checked to determine if the	
complete a non-examination	specification is available to a candidate outside mainstream education	
assessment(s)	If so, arrangements for supervision, authentication and marking are	
	made separately for the candidate	
Resources		
A candidate augments notes and	Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected in and kept	
resources between formally	secure between formally supervised sessions	
supervised sessions	Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are collected in and	
	kept secure between formally supervised sessions	
	Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for candidates is	
	restricted between formally supervised sessions	
A candidate fails to acknowledge	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources	
sources on work that is submitted	etc. is checked to confirm all the sources used, including books, websites	
for assessment	and audio/visual resources	
	Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the	
	candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records	
	acknowledges sources appropriately	

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
	Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	,
Word and time limits		
A candidate is penalised by the	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to	
awarding body for exceeding word	determine if word or time limits are mandatory	
or time limits	Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them	
	Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word or time limits is known and understood	
Collaboration and group work		
Candidates have worked in groups	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to	
where the awarding body	determine if group work is permitted	
specification states this is not permitted	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	
Authentication procedures		
A teacher has doubts about the	Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ	
authenticity of the work submitted	document Notice to Centres - Sharing NEA material and candidates'	
by a candidate for internal	work	
assessment	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ	
	document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments	
Candidate plagiarises other	Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need to do	
material	to comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments as	
	outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-	
	examination assessments	
	The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment	
	A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body	
Candidate does not sign their	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ	
authentication	document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments	
statement/declaration	Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to	
	comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document	
	Information for candidates: non-examination assessments	
	Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a	
	candidate for formal assessment	
Subject teacher not available to	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to sign	
sign authentication forms	authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as part of	
	the centre's quality assurance procedures	
Presentation of work		
Candidate does not fully complete	Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed before accepting	
the awarding body's cover sheet	the work of a candidate for formal assessment	
that is attached to their worked		
submitted for formal assessment		
Keeping materials secure		
Candidates work between formal	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ	
supervised sessions is not securely	publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments	
stored	Regular monitoring/internal audit ensures subject teacher use of	
	appropriate secure storage	

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Adequate secure storage not	Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to	
available to subject teacher	subject teacher prior to the start of the course	
	Alternative secure storage sourced where required	
Candidates work produced	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ	
electronically is not securely stored	publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments	
	Internal processes and regular monitoring/internal audit by IT Manager	
	ensures:	
	access to this material is restricted (insert how)	
	appropriate security safeguards are in place (insert)	
	names/types of protection)	
	<ul> <li>an effective back-up strategy is employed so that an up to</li> </ul>	
	date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained (insert	
	details of how work is backed up)	
	any sensitive digital media is encrypted (according to	
	awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of	
	encryption is suitable) to ensure the security of the data stored within it (insert relevant details of how)	
	Task marking – externally assessed components	
A candidate is absent on the day of		
•	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative	
the examiner visit for an	assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate	
acceptable reason	If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request	
	submitted to the awarding body where appropriate	
A candidate is absent on the day of	The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register	
the examiner visit for an		
unacceptable reason		
	Task marking – internally assessed components	
A candidate submits little or no	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as	
work	absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body	
	Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed	
	against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately;	
	where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of	
	zero is submitted to the awarding body	
A candidate is unable to finish their	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the	
work for unforeseen reason	special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility and the	
	process to be followed for shortfall in work	
The work of a candidate is lost or	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication Instructions for	
damaged	conducting non-examination assessments (section 8), to determine	
	eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work	
Candidate malpractice is	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for	
discovered	conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are	
	followed	
	Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication	
	Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures are followed	
	Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed	
A teacher assesses the work of a	A possible conflict of interest is declared by informing the	
candidate with whom they have a	awarding body before the published deadline for entries for each	
close personal relationship e.g.	examination series	
members of their family (which	Marked work of said candidate is submitted for moderation whether	
includes step-family, foster family	part of the sample requested or not	
and similar close relationships) or		

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
close friends and their immediate		
family (e.g. son/daughter)	According to the first seather that the determinant from such as a sea to a second	
An extension to the deadline for	Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted	
submission of marks is required for	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the	
a legitimate reason	special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility and the	
	process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension	
After submission of marks, it is	Awarding body is contacted for guidance	
discovered that the wrong task was	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the	
given to candidates	special consideration process (section 2), to determine eligibility and the	
	process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates	
A candidate wishes to	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their	
appeal/request a review of the	work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body	
marks awarded for their work by	Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks	
their teacher	Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change	
	through the awarding body's moderation process	
	Candidates are informed of their marks to the timescale identified in the	
	centre's internal appeals procedure and prior to the internal deadline set	
	by the exams officer for the submission of marks	
	Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of	
	the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an	
	appeal/request for a review of the centre's marking prior to the	
	submission of marks to the awarding body	
Deadline for submitting work for	Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the	
formal assessment not met by	start of the course	
candidate	Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and understood	
	Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance sought to	
	determine if the work can be accepted late for marking providing the	
	awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can be met	
	Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will be	
	accepted late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the awarding	
	body for the candidate	
Deadline for submitting marks and	Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of each academic	
samples of candidates work	year	
ignored by subject teacher	Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject heads as deadlines	
	approach	
	Records confirm deadlines known and understood by subject teachers	
	Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed	
Subject teacher long term absence	See centre's Exam Contingency Plan (Teaching staff extended absence at	
during the marking period	key points in the exam cycle)	