# Pupil premium strategy statement

## This statement details our school’s use of pupil premium funding to help improve the attainment of our disadvantaged pupils.

## It outlines our pupil premium strategy, how we intend to spend the funding in this academic year and the effect that last year’s spending of pupil premium had within our school.

## School overview

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Detail | Data |
| School name | Our Lady’s Catholic High School |
| Number of pupils in school | 907 |
| Proportion (%) of pupil premium eligible pupils | 18.1 |
| Academic year/years that our current pupil premium strategy plan covers | 2023-2026 |
| Date this statement was published | November 2023 |
| Date on which it will be reviewed | October 2024 |
| Statement authorised by | Richard Charnock  Headteacher |
| Pupil premium lead | Matt Power  Assistant Headteacher |
| Governor / Trustee lead | Mark Murray  Chair of Staffing & Curriculum |

**Funding overview**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Detail** | **Amount** |
| Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year |  |
| Recovery premium funding allocation this academic year  School Led Tutoring funding allocation this academic year |  |
| Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years (enter £0 if not applicable) |  |
| **Total budget for this academic year** |  |

# Part A: Pupil premium strategy plan

## Statement of intent

|  |
| --- |
| Our curriculum is designed to develop literate, numerate, confident speakers; pupils who are solvers of problems by negotiation; true to their faith; caring of others and for their environment; pupils who are creative and appreciative of the arts; ambitious pupils who are motivated and believe in their abilities; who understand the impact of previous generations both locally and on our world and can use their skills, knowledge and values to create a better world for all.  Our curriculum develops our pupils in ways that go far beyond the academic. It is enriched by numerous opportunities for, spiritual growth, personal and moral development, living safely, cultural awareness and personal challenge.  This intention is for all our pupils irrespective of their background or the challenges they face. They should make good progress and achieve high attainment across the curriculum, particularly in English and mathematics.  The focus of our pupil premium strategy is to support disadvantaged pupils to achieve that goal, including progress for those who are already high attainers. We also consider the challenges faced by all our vulnerable pupils whether disadvantaged or not.  High-quality first teaching is at the heart of our approach, with a focus on areas in which disadvantaged pupils require the most support. This is proven to have the greatest impact on closing the disadvantage attainment gap and at the same time will benefit the non-disadvantaged pupils in our school. Implicit in the intended outcomes detailed below, is the intention that non-disadvantaged pupils’ attainment will be sustained and improved alongside progress for their disadvantaged peers.  Our strategy is also integral to wider school plans for education recovery, notably in its targeted support through personalise tutor programmes, for pupils whose education has been worst affected, including non-disadvantaged pupils.  Our approach will be responsive to common challenges and individual needs, rooted in robust diagnostic assessment, not assumptions about the impact of disadvantage. Core to this is our belief in the importance of reading and the eradication of illiteracy. The approaches we have adopted complement each other to help pupils achieve their potential. To ensure they are effective we will:   * ensure disadvantaged pupils are challenged in the work that they’re set * adopt a whole school approach in which all staff take responsibility for disadvantaged pupils’ outcomes and raise expectations of what they can achieve * pursue our highest expectations of behaviour and relationships for all of our pupils. * ensure a robust careers programme with specific support for the most vulnerable. |

## Challenges

This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Challenge number | Detail of challenge |
| 1 | **Reading**  Assessments, observations and discussion with KS3 pupils indicate that disadvantaged pupils generally have lower levels of reading fluency (accuracy, automaticity, prosody) than their peers. This impacts their progress in all subjects. Our internal assessments show that disadvantaged pupils in Year 7 are below age expected entry for reading compared with their peers. |
| 2 | **Attendance**  Attendance of our disadvantaged pupils is generally lower than that of their peers. A lower attendance impacts on their progress across all subjects. The gap in attendance since September 2023 for our disadvantaged pupils compared with their peers 6%. Pre-Covid this gap was 2.1% at the same time of year. |
| 3 | **Mathematics**  The maths attainment of disadvantaged pupils is generally lower than that of their peers and teacher diagnostic assessments suggest that many pupils particularly struggle with problem solving tasks. Assessments on entry to year 7 indicate that our disadvantaged pupils arrive below age-related expectations compared to their peers. |
| 4 | **Ambition**  Our observations and discussions with pupils and families have identified a lack of ambition on entry to our school to achieve well and a desire to move on to further education and training amongst our disadvantaged pupils when compared to their peers. |
| 5 | **Well being**  Our assessments, observations and discussions with pupils and families have identified social and emotional issues for many pupils, such as anxiety, depression (diagnosed by medical professionals) and low self-esteem. This is partly driven by concern about catching up lost learning and exams/future prospects, and the lack of enrichment opportunities due to the pandemic. These challenges particularly affect disadvantaged pupils, including their progress and attainment. |

## 

## Intended outcomes

This explains the outcomes we are aiming for **by the end of our current strategy plan**, and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Intended outcome | Success criteria |
| Improved attainment among disadvantaged pupils across the curriculum at the end of KS4, with a focus on EBacc subjects. | By the end of our current plan in 2025/26, the progress of disadvantaged pupils will show consistent improvement on 2023 across a number of metrics. There will also be a greater proportion of disadvantaged pupils entered for English Baccalaureate (EBacc) subjects (22% in 2023). |
| Improved reading comprehension among disadvantaged pupils across KS3. | Reading comprehension tests demonstrate improved comprehension skills among disadvantaged pupils and a smaller disparity between the scores of disadvantaged pupils and their non-disadvantaged peers. Teachers should also have recognised this improvement through engagement in lessons and book scrutiny. |
| Improved metacognitive and self-regulatory skills among disadvantaged pupils across all subjects. | Teacher reports and class observations suggest disadvantaged pupils are more able to monitor and regulate their own learning. This finding is supported by homework completion rates across all classes and subjects, and further supported by book scrutiny. |
| To achieve and sustain improved wellbeing for all pupils, including those who are disadvantaged. | Sustained high levels of wellbeing from 2025/26 demonstrated by:   * qualitative data from student voice, student and parent surveys and teacher observations. * a significant increase in participation in enrichment activities, particularly among disadvantaged pupils. |
| To achieve and sustain improved attendance for all pupils, particularly our disadvantaged pupils. | Sustained high attendance from 2025/26 demonstrated by:   * the overall absence rate for all pupils being no more than 3.5%, and the attendance gap between disadvantaged pupils and their non-disadvantaged peers being reduced. • the percentage of all pupils who are persistently absent being below 6% and the figure among disadvantaged pupils being no more than 6% greater than their peers. |

## Activity in this academic year

This details how we intend to spend our pupil premium (and recovery premium funding) **this academic year** to address the challenges listed above.

### Teaching (for example, CPD, recruitment and retention)

Budgeted cost:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Activity | Evidence that supports this approach | Challenge number(s) addressed |
| Purchase of standardised diagnostic assessments.  Training will be provided for staff to ensure assessments are interpreted correctly. | Standardised tests can provide reliable insights into the specific strengths and weaknesses of each pupil to help ensure they receive the correct additional support through interventions or teacher instruction:  [Standardised tests | Assessing and Monitoring Pupil Progress | Education Endowment Foundation | EEF](https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/tools/assessing-and-monitoring-pupil-progress/testing/standardised-tests/) | 1, 2, 3 |
| Developing metacognitive and self-regulation skills in all pupils.  This will involve ongoing teacher training and support and release time. | Teaching metacognitive strategies to pupils can be an inexpensive method to help pupils become more independent learners. There is particularly strong evidence that it can have a positive impact on maths attainment:  [Metacognition and self-regulation | Toolkit Strand | Education Endowment Foundation | EEF](https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/evidence-summaries/teaching-learning-toolkit/meta-cognition-and-self-regulation/) | 4 |
| Enhancement of our maths teaching and curriculum planning in line with DfE KS3 and EEF guidance.  We will fund teacher release time to embed key elements of the guidance in school, and to access Maths Hub resources and CPD offers (including Teaching for Mastery training). | The DfE non-statutory KS3 guidance has been produced in conjunction with the National Centre for Excellence in the Teaching of Mathematics, drawing on evidence-based approaches:  [Teaching mathematics at key stage 3 - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)](https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/teaching-mathematics-at-key-stage-3)  To teach maths well, teachers need to assess pupils’ prior knowledge and understanding effectively, employ manipulatives and representations, teach problem solving strategies, and help pupils to develop more complex mental models: [KS2\_KS3\_Maths\_Guidance\_2017.pdf (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)](https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/public/files/Publications/Maths/KS2_KS3_Maths_Guidance_2017.pdf) | 1, 3, 4 |
| Improving literacy in all subject areas in line with recommendations in the EEF [Improving Literacy in Secondary Schools](https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/guidance-reports/literacy-ks3-ks4) guidance.  We will fund professional development and instructional coaching focussed on each teacher’s subject area.  Whole school CPD is taking place around literacy and teaching strategies are being introduced to support pupils development of literacy across the curriculum. | Acquiring disciplinary literacy is key for students as they learn new, more complex concepts in each subject:  [Improving Literacy in Secondary Schools](https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/guidance-reports/literacy-ks3-ks4)  Reading comprehension, vocabulary and other literacy skills are heavily linked with attainment in maths and English:  [word-gap.pdf (oup.com.cn)](https://www.oup.com.cn/test/word-gap.pdf) | 2 |

**Targeted academic support (for example, tutoring, one-to-one support structured interventions)**

Budgeted cost:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Activity | Evidence that supports this approach | Challenge number(s) addressed |
| Adopting a targeted reciprocal teaching programme as a reading intervention for disadvantaged pupils who need additional help to comprehend texts and address vocabulary gaps. | Reading comprehension strategies can have a positive impact on pupils’ ability to understand a text, and this is particularly the case when interventions are delivered over a shorter timespan:  [Reading comprehension strategies | Toolkit Strand | Education Endowment Foundation | EEF](https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/evidence-summaries/teaching-learning-toolkit/reading-comprehension-strategies/) | 2 |
| Engaging with the National Tutoring Programme to provide a blend of tuition, mentoring and school-led tutoring for pupils whose education has been most impacted by the pandemic. A significant proportion of the pupils who receive tutoring will be disadvantaged, including those who are high attainers.  In addition to this we have targeted Maths and English intervention aimed directly at PP pupils. | Tuition targeted at specific needs and knowledge gaps can be an effective method to support low attaining pupils or those falling behind, both one-to-one:  [One to one tuition | EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)](https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/one-to-one-tuition)  And in small groups:  [Small group tuition | Toolkit Strand | Education Endowment Foundation | EEF](https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/evidence-summaries/teaching-learning-toolkit/small-group-tuition/)  An in-house short term targeted approach of intervention is taking place being delivered by trained HLTAs in the two core subjects. These sessions are aimed at low attaining disadvantaged pupils. | 1, 2, 3 |

**Wider strategies (for example, related to attendance, behaviour, wellbeing)**

Budgeted cost:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Activity | Evidence that supports this approach | Challenge number(s) addressed |
| Adoption of a cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) intervention for specific pupils who require support with regulating their behaviour and emotions.  This includes training for school staff, collaboration with our local behaviour hub and teacher release time. | There is evidence to suggest that CBT can have a high impact on risk behaviours and behavioural difficulties:  [Cognitive Behavioural Therapy - Youth Endowment Fund](https://youthendowmentfund.org.uk/toolkit/cognitive-behavioural-therapy/)  EIF’s report on adolescent mental health found good evidence that CBT interventions support young people’s social and emotional skills and can reduce symptoms of anxiety and depression:  [Adolescent mental health: A systematic review on the effectiveness of school-based interventions | Early Intervention Foundation (eif.org.uk)](https://www.eif.org.uk/report/adolescent-mental-health-a-systematic-review-on-the-effectiveness-of-school-based-interventions) | 5 |
| Embedding principles of good practice set out in DfE’s [Improving School Attendance](https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/school-attendance/framework-for-securing-full-attendance-actions-for-schools-and-local-authorities) advice.  Staff will get training and release time to develop and implement new procedures. Attendance/support officers will be appointed to improve attendance. | The DfE guidance has been informed by engagement with schools that have significantly reduced persistent absence levels. | 6 |
| Contingency fund for acute issues. | Based on our experiences and those of similar schools to ours, we have identified a need to set a small amount of funding aside to respond quickly to needs that have not yet been identified. | All |
| One-to-one careers advice given to disadvantaged pupils to enhance their choice of future careers. | The Gatsby Foundation highlights that careers guidance is an important factor in raising pupil aspirations and future plans. By using trained careers officers and planning specific Futures Days focussed on raising aspirations and future pathways for disadvantaged pupils we hope to drive achievement up. | 4 |

**Total budgeted cost: £**

# Part B: Review of outcomes in the previous academic year

## Pupil premium strategy outcomes

This details the impact that our pupil premium activity had on pupils in the 2022 to 2023 academic year.

|  |
| --- |
| Our internal assessments during 2022/23 suggested that the performance of disadvantaged pupils was in line with previous years at GCSE but below for KS3.  Our assessment of the reasons for these outcomes points primarily to Covid-19 impact, which disrupted all of our subject areas to varying degrees. As evidenced in schools across the country, partial closure was most detrimental to our disadvantaged pupils, and they were not able to benefit from our pupil premium funded improvements to teaching and targeted interventions to the degree that we intended. The impact was mitigated by our resolution to maintain a high quality curriculum, including during periods of partial closure.  Although overall attendance in 2022/23 was lower than in the preceding years, it was higher than the national average. Absence among disadvantaged pupils was higher than their peers and persistent absence higher. These gaps are larger than in previous years, which is why attendance is a focus of our current plan.  Disadvantaged pupils who had become PA pupils were targeted with intervention in the core subjects through Oak Academy and Tute with the aim of allowing them phased returns into the curriculum.  Our assessments demonstrated that pupil behaviour, wellbeing and mental health were significantly impacted, primarily following COVID-19-related issues. The impact was particularly acute for disadvantaged pupils. We used pupil premium funding to provide wellbeing support for all pupils, and targeted interventions where required, these have included pupils being supported with paid work placements alongside a reduced curriculum of the core subjects. Pupils that take this pathway have frequently acquired jobs once leaving Our Lady’s, often with the employer whose work placement they attended. We are building on this approach in our future plans. |

# Further information

|  |
| --- |
| **Additional activity**  Our pupil premium strategy will be supplemented by additional activity that is not being funded by pupil premium or recovery premium. That will include:   * embedding more effective practice around feedback. [EEF evidence](https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/feedback) demonstrates this has significant benefits for pupils, particularly disadvantaged pupils. * ensuring pupils understand our ‘catch-up’ plan by providing information about the support they will receive (including targeted interventions listed above), how the curriculum will be delivered, and what is expected of them. This will help to address concerns around learning loss - one of the main drivers of pupil anxiety. * utilising support from our local [Mental Health Support Team](https://www.gov.uk/guidance/mental-health-and-wellbeing-support-in-schools-and-colleges#MHST) and local behaviour hub, plus funding for CPD from the local authority’s Wellbeing for Education Recovery budget, to support pupils with mild to moderate mental health and wellbeing issues, many of whom are disadvantaged. * offering a wide range of high-quality extracurricular activities to boost wellbeing, behaviour, attendance, and aspiration. Activities (e.g., The Duke of Edinburgh’s Award), will focus on building life skills such as confidence, resilience, and socialising. Disadvantaged pupils will be encouraged and supported to participate.   **Planning, implementation, and evaluation**  In planning our new pupil premium strategy, we evaluated why activity undertaken in previous years had not had the degree of impact that we had expected. We also commissioned a pupil premium review to get an external perspective.  We triangulated evidence from multiple sources of data including assessments, engagement in class book scrutiny, conversations with parents, students and teachers in order to identify the challenges faced by disadvantaged pupils. We also used the EEF’s families of schools database to view the performance of disadvantaged pupils in school similar to ours and contacted schools with high-performing disadvantaged pupils to learn from their approach.  We looked at a number of reports and studies about effective use of pupil premium, the impact of disadvantage on education outcomes and how to address challenges to learning presented by socio-economic disadvantage. We also looked at a number of studies about the impact of the pandemic on disadvantaged pupils.  We used the [EEF’s implementation guidance](https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/guidance-reports/implementation) to help us develop our strategy and will continue to use it through the implementation of our activities.  We have put a robust evaluation framework in place for the duration of our three-year approach and will adjust our plan over time to secure better outcomes for pupils. |